

ADDENDUM NUMBER EIGHT

TO

JPL REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) NUMBER SCO-552785

FOR

FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS SERVICES AT JPL

OCTOBER 30th, 2002

Except as specifically modified herein, this RFP remains unchanged.

Proposers are reminded that receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged on Attachment A-1 to the RFP.

Questions received through 12:00 Noon on Wednesday, October 30, 2002 are addressed in this Addendum. If an answer to a question has not yet been developed, the question is so noted.

A. Deleted Item of Equipment

Proposers are advised that Equipment Number V8984 shown in Attachment 2, Revision 1 will be deleted from the RFP.

B. Notification of New Buildings coming on line

Proposers are advised that the following buildings currently under construction will be added to Attachment 1 and revisions will be made where appropriate to reflect these new work areas. Proposers will be required to maintain and operate these buildings as part of the M&OS contract.

BLDG. NO.	BUILDING NAME	GROSS AREA, SQ.FT.	ADDL. INFORMATION/ EQUIPMENT
322	Storage Facility	4350 sq. ft.	No HVAC or restrooms
324	Recycling Facility	1350 sq. ft.	15,000 BTU Heat Pump 425 cfm 30 mbh Unit Heater 425 cfm 30 mbh Unit Heater Transformer 30 KVA One unisex restroom with one toilet and sink
325	Flight Hardware Storage Facility	6825 sq. ft.	75,000 BTU Heat Pump 111,000 BTU Heat Pump 2,600 cfm Fan Coil 4,000 cfm fan coil Transformer 150 kva 1,000 lb. Hydraulic vertical material lift

One unisex restroom with one toilet and sink

C. Correction to Addendum 6

The following correction is made to the answer to Addendum Number 6, Question 8. The correction is in **bold red highlighted** type. Both question and answer are reprinted:

8. Appendix 11.1 and 12.1 Historical Data

Does the incumbent contract, from which this data is derived, follow the same classification hierarchy for trouble calls and repairs as the current RFP?

*Answer: Trouble Calls in the present contract are limited to \$2,000 in raw labor, materials and services costs and are classified as Emergency, Urgent and Routine. Work over \$2,000 **or 20 or more direct labor hours** are classified as Repairs **as described in Paragraph 1 of Attachment II**. However, the Repair classifications contained in the present contract are not a one-for-one match with the repair classifications contained in the RFP.*

More important, proposers are again cautioned that the applicability of existing Contract 961306 to the RFP is severely limited. Proposers are reminded that proposals in response to RFP Number SCO-552785 should address the requirements contained in the RFP.

D. Carryover from Addendum Number 7

Question 11:

Reference: Reference appendix 15.4 items 5, 6 and 18 and attachment 2.

Question: How many emergency lanterns and exit lights require service every two months? How many emergency fluorescent battery packs require Bi-ennial service?

Answer: At the present time, there are approximately 1800 Emergency Lights and approximately 800 Exit Lights known to exist at JPL. However, these numbers may vary up or down due to ongoing construction and remodel work. Proposers are reminded to consider the possibility of such changes when preparing their offers.

E. Questions received from October 23, 2002 through October 30, 2002:

Question 1:

Reference: Attachment 11 general requirements and procedures for Trouble Calls and addenda 7 answer for question 1a and 1c.

Question 1a: Can JPL define SFOF, ROI, G and PGM work orders and any associated scope limit such as the 20 direct labor work-hours or \$2,000 in direct cost per call scope limit and definition provided in Attachment 11 for Trouble Calls?

Answer: SFOF type work is described in Attachment 17, G type work is described in Attachment 25 and ROI and PGM work is defined in Exhibit 2.

Question 1b: Can JPL define any desired response and completion times for the work orders types SFOF, ROI, and G similar to the definitions provided by attachment 11 general requirements and procedures for

Trouble Calls? Are all SFOF, ROI, and G work order types treated as routine work orders or can JPL define desired emergency and urgent response and completion times?

Answer: For purposes of response times, SFOF and G type work may be treated similar to TC work. ROI work may be treated as Repair type work.

Question 1c: Are work orders types SFOF, ROI, and G reclassified as a repair and processed per Attachment 12 when they exceed 20 direct labor work-hours or \$2,000 in direct cost per call?

Answer: Yes.

Question 2:

Reference: Attachment 12 paragraph d repairs indicates repairs have a value limit of seven thousand (\$7,000.00) per occurrence (including the value of the TC, if the Repair is an outgrowth of TC work) and shall be considered part of the fixed price portion of this contract. That portion of Repair work which exceeds \$7,000.00 per occurrence shall be subject to an equitable adjustment to the contract as stated in the General Provision entitled, "Changes."

Question: Does the \$7,000 stop loss limit apply to work orders types SFOF, ROI, and G? If so, will paragraph d be modified to indicate repairs have a value limit of seven thousand (\$7,000.00) per occurrence (including the value of the TC, SFOF, ROI, and G, if the Repair is an outgrowth of TC SFOF, ROI, and G work) and shall be considered part of the fixed price portion of this contract?

Answer: Yes.

Question 3

Reference: Addenda 7 answer for question 4.

Question: Will JPL provide a copy of the of the position description for the Certified Service Journeyman and Service Journeyman.

Answer: JPL has provided sufficient information to enable proposers to submit proposal pricing. Proposers are referred to Exhibit 3B which states that the Wage Determination applies to persons employed on "contracts for Heating, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration Maintenance". The job description for Heating, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration Maintenance can be found in the Service Contract Act Directory of Occupations.

Question 4

Reference: Addenda 7 answer for question 5a indicated Exhibit 3C is the response provided from the Department of Labor to JPL's original SF-98 Response. Our review of that response shows the Department of Labor failed to sign and date the response to notice, failed to check block A and failed to identify an attached wage determination(s) that will apply to this procurement.

Question: Are we correct in assuming JPL intends for offerors to prepare price proposals as if the Department of Labor had actually signed and dated the response to notice, checked block A and attached a wage determination that will apply to this procurement incorporating the collective bargaining agreement?

Answer: Yes.

Question 5: The solicitation requires submission of the specimen contract, duly executed along with the proposal. Is this to be submitted as a separate volume? If so, how many copies should be submitted?

Answer: Per paragraph 2.1.3 on page 1 of the RFP General Instructions, the "proposal is to be accompanied by a completed Contract executed by the appropriate official within your company." JPL intends this to mean that that one copy of the completed (not specimen) contract should be submitted as a separate, stand-alone document.

Question 6: The solicitation requires submission of a Subcontracting Plan. Should this be included with Volume 3, Price Proposal or as a separate volume?

Answer: JPL assumes that the question is specifically concerned with the requirement to discuss plans for engaging small business, small disadvantaged business, woman-owned business, hub-zone business, and special disabled veteran-owned business as enumerated in the Adequate and Capable Resources factor under the requirements pertaining to Criterion M-1 on page 10 of the RFP General Instructions and as clarified in Addendum Number 3.

The proposer should discuss its subcontracting plan in Volume One of its proposal. However, the actual subcontracting plan should be submitted as a stand-alone document, as it is subject to review and approval by the JPL Business Opportunities Office (B.O.O.).

Question 7: Reference Appendix 11.1, Historical Data for Trouble Calls. Can this information be provided on an annual basis rather than the cumulative data provided?

Answer: Appendix 11.1, Historical Data of Trouble Calls provides sufficient information to enable proposers to extrapolate annual work patterns.

Question 8: It has been stated that no existing shop equipment will be provided to the M&O contractor. Will this equipment remain at the laboratory for Lab use or is it intended to be excessed? It would seem costly to the Lab to fund replacement of much of this equipment through the new M&O contract, especially since most current equipment has a nominal residual value. Is there no mechanism to allow the successor contractor to acquire the equipment?

Answer: JPL provided equipment is limited to items shown in Appendix 38.1.

Question 9: Ref: Appendix 10-2. How often are lift stations cleaned/pumped? Who does the work?

Answer: Appendix 10-2 does not exist. Please see Appendix 15.10 for a possible answer to this question. The M&OS Contractor shall be responsible for all work in this RFP.

Question 10: Ref: Appendix 10-6. Will JPL reimburse the M&O contractor for the cost of analytical laboratory services and reports or should this be included in the fixed price?

Answer: Appendix 10-6 does not exist. Please see Appendix 15.10 for a possible answer to this question. The M&OS Contractor shall be responsible for all work in this RFP. There is no cost-reimbursable element in the RFP and Proposers should include all work in the fixed price provided with the proposal.

Question 11: Ref: Appendix 10-13. No HOUSEVAC is shown for Building 183 in the Attachment 2 Equipment List.

Answer: Equipment Numbers M0283, M4027 and M6827 in Attachment 2, Revision 1 are incorrectly described as Pump, Vacuum. They will be correctly described as Vacuum, Central in the next Revision of Attachment 2.

Question 12: Ref: Appendix 10-14. Is there a subcontract to calibrate monitoring equipment for UG fuel tank? See referenced CA Health and Safety Code.

Answer: The M&OS Contractor may choose the manner in which this requirement in Appendix 15.10, Item 14 will be met.

Question 13: Ref: Appendix 10-24. Note: Believe the equipment number referred to in this PM should be M6828 instead of M6628. There is a Hydro Constant Pump M6828 in the Attachment 2 Equipment List but no M6628.

Answer: Item 24 in Appendix 15.10 should read as “BLDG, 183 HYDRO CONSTANT PUMP (M~~6628~~ 6828) RM. 324”

Question 14:

Reference: Addenda 5, section E, question 4 concerning the purchasing and inventory module of Maximo. Answer a. says that JPL will not implement the purchasing and inventory modules Maximo 5.1. Answer b. says that JPL will not mandate how the contractor will perform the purchasing and inventory functions.

Question: Will JPL allow the new contractor to install/implement the purchasing and inventory modules in Maximo 5.1 for M&O contractor use if the contractor provides system administration for these modules?

Answer: JPL will provide an answer in the next Addendum.

Question 15: Amendment 5 provided a revised Historical Data of Repairs by quantity, however the average time and cost information, by repair category was not included. Can this information be provided?

Answer: Please refer to Appendix 12.1 which provides the requested data. Appendix 12.2 is that same data presented in a different format that highlights the Category 1, Cat